



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,954	07/28/2003	Stefan Kusterer	34874-073 UTIL	6169
64280	7590	01/25/2010	EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2179		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: STEFAN KUSTERER, YOGEV MASHIACH, DAVID
BRUTMAN, YOSSI PIK, and MATHIAS KINZLER

Application No. 10/628,954
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: January 22, 2010

Before QUITA GOULD *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*
GOULD, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist.*

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 14, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Appellants filed an Appeal Brief dated March 6, 2009. The Appeal Brief is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c) effective September 13, 2004.

According to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(v), an Appeal Brief must include the following:

(v) *Summary Of Claimed Subject Matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which must refer to the Specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(vii), every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the Specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the Specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

The “Summary of Claimed Subject Matter” appearing on pages 8-10 of the Appeal Brief filed March 6, 2009 is deficient because it does not map independent claims 35 and 36 to the Specification as filed with the application. Correction is required.

MPEP § 1205.03 states in part:

(B) When the Office holds the brief to be defective solely due to Appellants failure to provide a Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v), an entire new brief need not, and should not, be filed.

Rather, a paper providing a Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v) will suffice. Failure to timely respond to the Office's requirement will result in dismissal of the appeal. See MPEP § 1215.04 and § 711.02(b).

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed March 6, 2009 defective, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(d);
- 2) notify the Appellants to submit a "paper" which corrects the Appeal Brief's Summary of Claimed Subject Matter under 37 C.F.R. §41.37(c)(1)(v);
- 3) acknowledge and consider any "paper" submitted by Appellants to correct the Appeal Brief; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

QG/Ssc

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C.
One Financial Center
Boston, MA 02111